| | 2 |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
| 2 | |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN: MR. JOHN POTEET |
| 4 | |
| 5 | COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: MR. TONY CORMIER |
| 6 | MR. RICKY DONNELL |
| 7 | MR. RON DUPLESSIS |
| 8 | MR. GEORGE FLOYD |
| 9 | MR. STEPHEN OLAVE |
| 10 | MR. MATTHEW PEDERSON |
| 11 | MR. HENRY "DARTY" SMITH |
| 12 | MR. DINO TAYLOR |
| 13 | MR. RICHARD WATTS |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | REPRESENTING THE LOUISIANA USED MOTOR |
| 18 | VEHICLE COMMISSION: |
| 19 | |
| 20 | ROBERT W. HALLACK, ESQUIRE HALLACK LAW OFFICE |
| 21 | 13007 JUSTICE AVENUE |
| 22 | BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70816 SHERI MORRIS, ESQUIRE |
| 23 | DAIGLE, FISSE & KESSENICH, PLC |
| 24 | 8480 BLUEBONNET BOULEVARD, SUITE F BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70810 |
| 25 | i |
| | |

| | 4 |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 1 | MR. POTEET: |
| 2 | All right. We'll get started. |
| 3 | (Pledge of Allegiance) |
| 4 | MR. POTEET: |
| 5 | So, Tonya, do you want to do the |
| 6 | roll call, please? |
| 7 | MS. BURKS: |
| 8 | Sure. |
| 9 | John Poteet? |
| 10 | MR. POTEET: |
| 11 | Here. |
| 12 | MS. BURKS: |
| 13 | Dino Taylor? |
| 14 | MR. TAYLOR: |
| 15 | Here. |
| 16 | MR. BURKS: |
| 17 | Tony Cormier? |
| 18 | MR. CORMIER: |
| 19 | Here. |
| 20 | MS. BURKS: |
| 21 | Ron Duplessis? |
| 22 | MR. DUPLESSIS: |
| 23 | Here. |
| 24 | MS. BURKS: |
| 25 | George Floyd? |
| | |

| | 5 |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| 1 | MR. FLOYD: |
| 2 | Here. |
| . 3 | MS. BURKS: |
| 4 | Henry Smith? |
| 5 | MR. SMITH: |
| 6 | Here. |
| 7 | MS. BURKS: |
| 8 | Steve Olave? |
| 9 | MR. OLAVE: |
| 10 | Here. |
| 11 | MS. BURKS: |
| 12 | Ricky Donnell? |
| 13 | MR. DONNELL: |
| 14 | Here. |
| 15 | MS. BURKS: |
| 16 | Richard Watts? |
| 17 | MR. WATTS: |
| 18 | Here. |
| 19 | MS. BURKS: |
| 20 | Matthew Pederson? |
| 21 | MR. PEDERSON: |
| 22 | Here. |
| 23 | MS. BURKS: |
| 24 | Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum. |
| 25 | MR. POTEET: |
| | |

| 1 | Excellent. Not only do we have a |
|-----|----------------------------------------------|
| 2 | quorum, we have a full house. |
| , 3 | Do we have anyone here today for |
| 4 | public comments? |
| 5 | MS. BURKS: |
| 6 | No, sir. |
| 7 | MR. POTEET: |
| 8 | All right. The first thing on |
| 9 | the agenda that we need to do is we have the |
| 10 | adoption and approval of the minutes of |
| 11 | March 19th meeting. I need a |
| 12 | MR. SMITH: |
| 13 | I make a motion. |
| 14 | MR. OLAVE: |
| 15 | Second the motion, Mr. Chairman. |
| 16 | MR. POTEET: |
| 17 | Second by Mr. Olave. |
| 18 | All in favor, say, "Aye." |
| 19 | (All "Aye" responses.) |
| 20 | MR. POTEET: |
| 21 | None opposed? |
| 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | MR. POTEET: |
| 24 | That passes. Okay. |
| 25 | Mona. She's waiting patiently |
| | |

back there. Financial matters.
MS. ANDERSON:

2.0

Turning in your binders to the financial reports for March 2018, on page 1 is the statement of net position. The cash in the bank at the end of March was \$2,347,299. The accounts receivable hearing fines were \$57,314. At the bottom of the page, the current liabilities were \$76,330.

On page 2, the deferred revenues for 2019 at the end of March were 200- -- I'm sorry, were \$261,515. And the total liabilities were \$3,281,108, the majority of which is the net pension liability. On pages 3 -- pages 3 through 5 is the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. Both the month-to-date revenues and the year-to-date revenues were lower, particularly in the auction transaction fees, but that was just a timing issue. Last year in March, the prior month included two months of auction transaction fees for Copart and Insurance Auto Auction.

On pages 4 and 5, the month-to-date expenses decreased slightly

compared to 2017. We have some delayed legal expenses. We're waiting for Sheri's contract to be approved by procurement. And on page 5, the year-to-date net position was -- for the year was \$311,765. And for the month, it was a negative \$32,903.

On page 6, the four- year revenue comparison shows a comparison among the revenues for the past four years. The crusher -- when we compare 2017/'18 to the same district year of '15/'16, the crusher license revenues were the highest increase in the licensing revenue. And the interest on our operating account was the highest increase in revenue of all other revenues. On page 7 is a visual comparison of five of the revenues for license types.

On page 8 is the year-to-date budget to actual expenditures. We're heading into the end of this fiscal year. Our supplies and our maintenance categories may need to be amended before the end of the fiscal year as they're really close to budget.

On page 9 is the certificate of

deposit report. There are no changes for We did obtain some better interest rates from Chase on the two April CDs that are coming up in next month's financials. And we checked rates with Investar, with Chase, and with Whitney and actually Chase is the only one that called us back with rates. It's kind of hard to get banks to look at governmental CDs, because they have to pledge securities for those CDs. not like a personal CD. But we actually had contact from Investar. They contacted us, but they never did get back with us in time for the maturity of the CD. So we'll continue to try to get three quotes from banks that are on the list of fiscal agents for the state. On page 10, the accounts receivable hearings report, in March, we assessed \$19,300 in fines and we collected \$22,643. And the balance at the end of the month was \$57,314. And so unless there are any

And so unless there are any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report.

MR. POTEET:

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

| | | 10 |
|----|----------------------------------|----|
| 1 | Anyone have any questions today? | |
| 2 | (No response.) | |
| 3 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 4 | I'm taking that silence as, no, | |
| 5 | there are no questions. | |
| 6 | MR. OLAVE: | |
| 7 | Do you need a motion, Mr. | * |
| 8 | Chairman? | |
| 9 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 10 | Yes, we do. | |
| 11 | MR. OLAVE: | |
| 12 | I make a motion we adopt the | |
| 13 | financial report. | |
| 14 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 15 | Second? | |
| 16 | MR. SMITH: | |
| 17 | I second. | |
| 18 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 19 | Second right here from Darty. | * |
| 20 | All in favor, say, "Aye." | |
| 21 | (All "Aye" responses.) | |
| 22 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 23 | Any opposed? | |
| 24 | (No response.) | |
| 25 | MR. POTEET: | |
| | | |

1 All right. Thank you, Mona, good 2 work. 3 All right. Next thing on the agenda is ratification of imposed penalties. 4 5 It looks like we have three of these here. 6 MR. PARNELL: 7 Not many. Please find in your 8 packet a chart that illustrates the dealers that were in violation of state law. 9 10 cases have been investigated and I've determined that the public interest can be 11 12 served without further administrative 13 proceedings. Thus, civil penalties were 14 I will announce the name of the 15 dealer and have -- I'll announce the name --16 excuse me, I will announce the names of the dealers that have imposed civil penalties. 17 18 Do we have any representation 19 from dealers that are listed on the chart? 20 MS. BURKS: 21 No one is here. 22 MR. PARNELL: 23 I'll go through. True 2 Auto 24 Sales, LLC, from New Orleans, Louisiana,

violation fine amount is \$1,500. Stan

| 1 | Davis, Individually and doing business as, |
|----|----------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Stan's Auto Sales, from West Monroe, |
| 3 | Louisiana, fine amount is \$150. Kim's Auto |
| 4 | Sales, LLC, from Port Barre, Louisiana, fine |
| 5 | amount is \$150. Commissioners, I ask that |
| 6 | you ratify the imposed civil penalties |
| 7 | assessed. The total amount of civil |
| 8 | penalties is \$1,800. |
| 9 | MR. POTEET: |
| 10 | All right. I need a motion. |
| 11 | MR. SMITH: |
| 12 | I make a motion. |
| 13 | MR. CORMIER: |
| 14 | Second. |
| 15 | MR. POTEET: |
| 16 | Motion from Darty. Second over |
| 17 | here by Tony. |
| 18 | All those in favor, say, "Aye." |
| 19 | (All "Aye" responses.) |
| 20 | MR. POTEET: |
| 21 | Any opposed? |
| 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | MR. POTEET: |
| 24 | All right. That passes. |
| 25 | Next on our agenda is the |
| | |

ratifications of revocations.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

In addition, you will find a chart that illustrates the dealers that are -- have -- they had their licenses revoked. Please note that each dealer on the list has been through the revocation process. We don't -- do we have anyone here to represent any of these dealers?

MS. BURKS:

No one is here.

MR. PARNELL:

Okay. Let me go through. Iberia Preowned Auto Sales, LLC, from New Iberia, suspension letter was on 2/14/2018. Notice of revocation was on 3/27 of '18. Sugarmill Auto Sales from New Iberia, Louisiana, notice of revocation was on 3/27 of '18. Dom's Paint and Body, LLC, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, notice of revocation was 3/27/18. Consignment Sales from Lake Charles, Louisiana, notice of revocation was 3/27/18. Burch's Auto -- Burch's Used Auto Sales, Incorporated, from Mansfield, Louisiana, notice of revocation is 3/19 of

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

| 1 | '18. Angelic Rides, LLC, from New Iberia, |
|----|---------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Louisiana, notice of revocation is 3/19 of |
| 3 | '18. Road Show Motors from Arcadia, |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Louisiana, notice of revocation is 3/19 of |
| 6 | '18. Gee's Auto Sales, Incorporated, from |
| 7 | Shreveport, Louisiana, notice of revocation |
| | is 3/19 of '18. Auto Plaza of Moss Bluff, |
| 8 | LLC, Lake Charles, Louisiana, notice of |
| 9 | revocation is 2/26 of '18. Jessie's Auto |
| 10 | Sales from New Iberia, Louisiana, notice of |
| 11 | revocation is 3/20 of '18. Commissioners, I |
| 12 | ask that you ratify the revocation of |
| 13 | dealers I have just announced. |
| 14 | MR. OLAVE: |
| 15 | I make a motion, Mr. Chairman. |
| 16 | MR. DONNELL: |
| 17 | Second. |
| 18 | MR. POTEET: |
| 19 | Mr. Olave. |
| 20 | Who was the second? Okay. Ricky |
| 21 | Donnell. |
| 22 | All in favor, say, "Aye." |
| 23 | (All "Aye" responses.) |
| 24 | MR. POTEET: |
| 25 | Any opposed? |
| | mily opposed: |

(No response.)

2

1

MR. POTEET:

4

3

Okay. So, Derek, can you continue with the Executive Director's

5

report, please?

7

MR. PARNELL:

8

All right. Commissioners, I just

9

want to notify you-all that the new investigator that we brought on in district

All right. Those pass.

10 11

3 is doing a greatest job. He jumped right

12

in. He understands a lot of the process, a

13

lot of the laws. He's worked in auto sales

14

before. So a lot of the laws are parallel.

15

So he's actually doing a really good job.

16

I'm very happy with the selection we made

17 18 with him. So moving forward, we're still

19

trying to grow. We're still trying to be as

20

proactive as we can. Although, we can't necessarily at this point because of our

21

size -- staff size, but I do want us to get

22

there. We're going to continue to work on

23

that.

A little bit of update I want to give you guys on our software solution. We

25

-- I had Commissioner Olave reach out to me and advise me that he randomly met someone, Ms. Rhonda Miller, who's the owner of RTM Global Tech, and gave her my contact information and -- as well as mine to her. So we did reach out to her a few weeks ago, Mona and I. We gave Ms. Miller a conference call and we kind of discussed some of the services that she could provide for us. After the -- during the discussion, we kind of really wanted her to understand exactly what we do, what we're doing for -- the breadth and the depth of the solution that we're really looking for. And during the discussion, we kind of realized that she wasn't at that point. She didn't have a system already created that was vetted already. She kind of really wanted to be more in the role of a consultant. this point, we brought on this IT --Transformyx, this IT company that we've been using and they -- a lot of what they do -they do that role as well. So I don't know -- do you want to kind of say anything about her.

MR. OLAVE:

4 5

Yes. I think -- it was -- it was kind of unusual that I met her, trying to sell her a car actually. And she told me she was -- she was a consultant with RTI, that they had contracts with the University of Arizona and Children's Hospital in Birmingham. And I spoke with her after that -- after your discussion with her and they are more of a customizable --

MR. PARNELL:

Right.

MR. OLAVE:

in and figure out -- you know, again, I don't understand all of the logistics of it, but they come in. So they may not have anything prepared. And I don't know the lady other than meeting her that first time, but I believe that, you know, they come in and customize a solution for the individual, which there are some attractive qualities in that.

MR. PARNELL:

Absolutely.

1 MR. OLAVE:

Other than -- you know, they are not ready in that particular, you know, package. So I just thought it was serendipitous that we met and turned it over, you know.

MR. PARNELL:

Well, she said -- you know, we still -- I asked her can she kind of give me some information -- some more information about her company and the work she does. So we'll look into, you know, just what can happen.

MR. OLAVE:

Yes.

MR. PARNELL:

I understand most often that the customizable -- a fully customized program is rather expensive versus, you know, the ones that are already out there, which we found out was more than what we can pay right now. But we're going to continue our process of searching and looking. So we -- anybody that we reach out to, you know, we just want to try to understand -- let them

understand a little bit more of what we're doing.

With that said, we moved our IT contract. This past fiscal year, we began a process of phasing out our old IT contract with Keith Horton. We moved to Transformyx. It supports right now about 90 percent of our IT needs currently. We've been very pleased with this new company. They are very proactive in what they do, you know. They actually -- they watch our system. They watch our computers and make sure -you know, every night, they're proactively looking at our system to see what we need to update in the future, where we are right And that's kind of a service that we weren't really having.

And for our agency to grow in the direction we want to go, that was one of the main focuses that we were looking at when we went with the company, Transformyx, you know. They're really on it. Like, when we call them, somebody is over here right away or they jump in our system immediately and the updates are all done, you know, more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

often than we even know that it happens. 1 2 the past, that really wasn't the case. So moving forward with the 2018/'19 fiscal 3 year, we're going to totally phase out our old IT company and just continue moving 5 forward with the new company that we have 6 7 right now. 8 So that's it. That's pretty much all that's kind of been happening here. 9 10 if you have any questions, comments, or 11 concerns please let me know. 12 MR. POTEET: 13 Anybody have anything? Questions 14 or comments for Derek? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. PARNELL: 17 I do want to ask Commissioner 18 Duplessis. I know you mentioned there was a 19 company that you had worked with in the 20 Would you let me have that contact past. information, so I can reach out to them and 21 22 let me see --23 MR. DUPLESSIS: 24 Sure.

MR. PARNELL:

-- what services they can provide for us?

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Is this new company doing hardware/software -- hardware/software integration? What are they doing for you?

MR. PARNELL:

It's kind of -- it's more kind of to the technical side I'm thinking.

MS. ANDERSON:

What we're looking for in the licensing part of it is what they call a COS system or customized off the shelf. So, basically, the ones that we've looked at that seem viable are the ones that have an off-the-shelf program that they can customize to our needs. So they can mimic things that already happened in CAVU, but they can improve on them for us and grow with us.

And Transformyx -- as far as
Transformyx is concerned, it's just amazing
how much they can do. They can remote in at
any point and see exactly what you're
seeing. We don't have to wait for somebody

3 .

to find the time to come here, and then, you know, travel here. And they have a bank of people as opposed to our former IT contract. They only had one person that would come and, sometimes, he would come. And this company has five or six people that work just on problems with computers.

Then, they have a whole other set of people that work with the servers and what-have-you. And in every case that we've even thought of anything that they might need to be doing, guess what? They're already doing it. So you can't get any better than that.

MR. POTEET:

I think that one of the -- one of the problems we have, of course, is that, you know, if you're -- if you're in that business, they don't have a lot of customers. I mean, how many customers can they get? We have the same problem in the auction industry, you know. There are only 375 National Auto Auction Association auctions in the country. So if you were saying, I want to start a business and I

want to have only 375 customers, if I get 1 2 100 percent of them, that's just what I want 3 So, you know, I see that as being very difficult.

MS. ANDERSON:

Well, one of the companies that we talked to has actually talked to the Secretary of State about getting agencies together, which that's what we've found in other states, that either the -- statewide, all the agencies get together and they have a custom program built that can be amended for the different types of functions that each agency does. So that one company that we talked to, they actually were proactive and went and met with the Secretary of They came and talked to us afterwards and they've got two or three other agencies that do similar licensing processes. So they're trying to get the state to sort of oversee this. And, that way, we can have economy of size, you know, that we won't have to pay as much if they're doing the same program for three, four, other agencies.

25

1 MR. POTEET:

Yes. I would think that that's one way to approach this. At least it would give them some incentive as opposed to saying, one commission, one agency.

MR. OLAVE:

Of course, it seems like that would integrate better for agencies that have to communicate, you know, possibly having the same system.

MR. POTEET:

You would hope so.

MR. OLAVE:

In theory.

MR. POTEET:

In theory. All right. Derek, thanks for that report.

Let's see. The next thing on the agenda is the legislative session. We've got a couple things here. Of course, Sheri is not here, but I'm sure that Ron and Derek can easily carry this ball right up to the one yard line at the very least.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Probably, made the most important

thing in the legislative report is something that's not on here and I'm going to bring this to the Commission. I was -- I guess it was about two weeks ago Friday afternoon, I met with the New Motor Vehicle Commission and -- privately, and that's the way they wanted it. And it was Ray Brandt, myself, and Lessie House. And we met for about an hour -- a little over an hour. And I requested the meeting and they granted it. And I said, you know, we're in session. don't want to see this session go like the previous session, that we're in a war room battling it out amongst two like kind agencies. And we have some overlap here I think that we need to clarify. And to my amazement, they were cordial. And they agreed that there were areas that we need to clear up and one of those is the sales finance act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And, you know, the -- some of the And I conflict with federal law, especially

points that I think we need to look at is the rent-to-own versus lease-to-own. think that some of our laws actually

Regulation M, Regulation Z, the Federal 1 Trade Commission, truth in advertising. 2 our advertising in the used car world is --3 we don't have buy down risks. We don't have 5 factory incentives. We don't have capital allowances going on like you're seeing with Chrysler, like you're seeing with GM Financial, not inclusive of Allied, but not exclusive of Allied. And that's my world, is the GM knowledge.

> So we talked about that, you know, and we were at a point, get a three member committee to sit down and try and work out the points, especially on the sales finance act, the overreach of controls of both agencies to clarify what our roles are. And we're going to come up, I think, with, if you will, no less than the letter that we had from Governor Foster a number of years ago defining our roles more clearly where our reach and overreach is.

> Now, I'm sure that there's going to be some agents that go in and they become zealous and they try to do their job or do it with a great deal of zeal, but we've got

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

to stay within, you know, the defined boundaries, what I think our agencies are here to do. So I would like to think towards the end of the session that we're going to develop these committees and be able to, if you will, bury the hatchet and smoke a peace pipe and figure out exactly how we can -- we can coexist.

We also brought up, you know, some issues of public importance, like ICE, state DMV, state police, where our issues are the illegal activity or seen in those investigations or where we go to those investigations and we have to share for what we think is the highest and best use of public safety. They agreed with that. So we had a very pleasant meeting for about an hour. We got a game plan. And, hopefully, we can reach out to each other and put these — put these issues to bed and become a more cohesive total association before we resign and settle. So that was good news.

MR. POTEET:

Can I ask you a question?
MR. DUPLESSIS:

1 Sure. 2 MR. POTEET: 3 Okay. You mentioned that -- in your discussion with them that there was the 4 5 suggestion that we come up with a three 6 person committee from each commission to 7 work on this? 8 MR. DUPLESSIS: 9 Yes. 10 MR. POTEET: 11 Is that -- was that your 12 suggestion or their suggestion or it just kind of rolled out in the conversation? 13 14 MR. DUPLESSIS: 15 Well, I -- you know, my 16 suggestion was that it was going to be a 17 committee, a small committee. And they came up with three and I quickly agreed with 18 19 three, because I felt like that was enough. You know, when you get into these big 20 21 committees --22 MR. POTEET: 23 Well, I know where you're going 24 with that. 25 MR. DUPLESSIS:

1

Oh, my.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23

24

25

MR. POTEET:

The more people you get on the --MR. DUPLESSIS:

The only thing you come out with is a headache.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. Just getting all of them to meet at one time is -- -

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Yes. So I felt like there was a degree of cooperation. So I was going to let my winds run and that we can hash anything else out later. But my job was, I thought, to try to bring the two commissions together, bring the information back to this Commission, and to see how we felt. And then we could -- we could appoint the committee and make sure that we had a good cohesive committee. If it's going to be three lawyers on each side, I don't think it's going to be a good meet. But I think it's going to have to take some common sense people.

We just get broiled in the

details instead of trying to get the concept, we're looking at the fine points and that just doesn't work for business people. But we're going to have to refine that through the -- through the attorneys and we're going to have to put it into -- I guess, maybe, we'll try to get it to a rule of cooperation on each side of the agency and that would work for us, in my opinion.

MR. POTEET:

Does anybody have any comments on any of this?

(No response.)

MR. POTEET:

I mean, do you want me to appoint a committee or do you have some suggestions?

MR. DUPLESSIS:

You know, my thought is, it's going to be a conference call between yourself and Derek along with Ray Brandt and Lessie as to what the scope of this is going to be and the construction of it. Then, it's going to be up to y'all to appoint the committee, was my -- was my vision of it.

MR. POTEET:

Have you had any discussion with
Lessie about any of this?

MR. PARNELL:

No, I haven't.

MR. POTEET:

I'd recommend that you contact her. If somebody is interested in being on this committee, please email Derek or me or both of us and let me know, anybody that's interested. As we go down the path with this, we're going to have to figure out what is the best way to communicate with that commission and get some of these things that are just kind of hanging out there that annoy us and make our lives more difficult, so.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I think I've seen a degree of, if you will, reality check from that LUMVC, especially the Alonzo case. You know, that case is going nowhere and that's a shame. It's created a lot of carnage. The three member committee should create a solution.

MR. POTEET:

I agree with that.

1 MR. DUPLESSIS: 2 So I think it's there. And if we 3 can just get on a working relationship, 4 hopefully, we can get put this to bed and we 5 want to go back to doing what we're intended to do by the -- by the Legislature. 6 7 MR. POTEET: 8 All right. Thank you for that. 9 MR. DUPLESSIS: 10 I guess 514 essentially seems to 11 be moving out without -- has it had any 12 opposition? 13 MR. PARNETIT: 14 No, not yet. It's been sent to 15 Senate Commerce. There were some amendments added to it, but other than that, there was 16 17 no real opposition at all on the bill. So it's just waiting to be heard in Senate 18 19 Commerce at this point. 20 MR. DUPLESSIS: 21 The Senate Commerce? 22 MR. PARNELL: 23 Yes. 24 MR. DUPLESSIS: 25 This Board, I guess, has got to

go through the reconfirmation package?

MR. PARNELL:

Yes.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I guess that was a well written clean up bill.

489, it looks like we've reached an agreement there and that is moving along pretty much without opposition as well?

MR. PARNELL:

That's correct, just recently, though. But Jessie McCormick, he's a lobbyist for LKQ and they're pretty much the ones that want this bill to go through. They were advised that there are some amendments coming from CARFAX, which is a little strange to me. But we're just waiting right now to hear the amendments and see what they are and we're kind of -- I'll let you know what they are, so we can see where it's going beyond that, see if that's something that the agency will oppose or agree with.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

You know, they're just a

1 reporting agency trying to do the right thing in a lot of areas. So I don't see 2 3 where that's going to be any opposition. 4 MR. PARNELL: 5 I don't see any either. 6 MR. DUPLESSIS: 7 And SB-62 by Martiny, I assume we're going to be around for another four 8 9 years. 10 MR. PARNELL: 11 The sunset bill is flying 12 through, so. 13 MR. DUPLESSIS: 14 Derek, is there anything that --15 Robert, anything that we should be -- that's not on here that we should be concerned with 16 17 playing defense? 18 MR. HALLACK: 19 Not that I'm aware of. 20 MR. PARNELL: 21 And there are several bills that 22 are out there that could potentially, but I 23 haven't ran across anything throughout the process in looking at the amendments. 24 Sheri and I have kind of been watching this. 25

| 1 | haven't seen anything that really affects |
|----|---------------------------------------------|
| 2 | our agency negatively in any way at this |
| 3 | point. But we still have a little while |
| 4 | left to go in the session. So we always |
| 5 | tend to be on our toes just in case we have |
| 6 | to. |
| 7 | MR. DUPLESSIS: |
| 8 | Late in the session. |
| 9 | MR. PARNELL: |
| 10 | Yes, usually late. |
| 11 | MR. TAYLOR: |
| 12 | When is the session essentially |
| 13 | over? When is |
| 14 | MR. PARNELL: |
| 15 | I think it's June 2nd no, 4th. |
| 16 | I was thinking the 4th. Yes, June 4th. |
| 17 | MR. DUPLESSIS: |
| 18 | Anything else? |
| 19 | MR. POTEET: |
| 20 | Robert, do you have any comments |
| 21 | on anything? |
| 22 | MR. HALLACK: |
| 23 | Very smooth this year. |
| 24 | MR. DUPLESSIS: |
| 25 | Mr. Chairman, that's my report. |
| | |

1 MR. POTEET:

Anyone have any questions for any of us? Particularly, Ron and Derek?

(No response.)

MR. POTEET:

Okay.

MR. PARNELL:

So, once again, I do want to touch on something. Just now -- you guys want me to contact Lessie and discuss the scope of the meeting moving forward, like, what we really want to discuss in that?

MR. POTEET:

I think you should refer to what Mr. Duplessis said -- brought up here, you know, about the possibility of a two or three person committee from each commission. I mean, when you two discuss it, maybe it's two, maybe it's four. But three was what was proposed. And then -- and then we can appoint some people. I'm assuming Ron would want to be on that. And then we've got nine others to choose from. So anybody that's -- as I said, anybody that's interested, email me and/or Derek. So when we get to this

point, which I hope they probably going to do it soon, we can get together and work on this. I definitely think that, you know, with all of the things that are going on in the world of politics in our state, it's a good idea for us to have a plan instead of just wait and see what hits us in the head when we come around the corner.

MR. PARNELL:

Absolutely.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I want to add one other comment.

They had some advertising concerns, which I don't think we have a lot of opposition to.

One of the concerns, which I agree with them on and I think we've cured it, especially in the last meeting, was the certification.

And, you know, just to advertise certification, have a 12 point check, in my mind, you know, that's not a consumer friendly position, to assure the consumer they're getting a good deal. I saw one here last week that was 127 points. I frankly thought it was overkill. But I think there's a standard and the standard that I

1 see on our side is going to be probably 2 Kelley Blue Book or NADA certifications. 3 There may be more out there. I don't know. But I think that it would be easy for us to 4 5 adopt with a great deal of comfort, those two particulars, as normal standards. 6 7 Otherwise, you're going to be reading a lot of paperwork. And if they had those, which 8 we can -- and I think CarMax does those 9 10 certifications. 11 MR. PARNETIT: 12 Yes. 13 MR. DUPLESSIS: 14 I'm not sure. But I think those 15 are three industry standards that we can 16 cling to and come up with something that 17 works and --18 MR. PARNELL: 19 Yes. 2.0 MR. DUPLESSIS: 21 I think that's a concern for both 22 commissions that we can settle relatively 23 easily. 24 MR. POTEET: 25 Okay. All right. I had a

```
request from one of Commissioners to have an
  1
  2
          Executive Session. Is that still --
  3
               MR. OLAVE:
  4
                     Yes, sir. I make a motion we
  5
          move into Executive Session to discuss some
  6
          sensitive matters.
 7
               MR. POTEET:
 8
                     Okay. I need a second for that.
 9
               MR. SMITH:
10
                     Second.
11
               MR. POTEET:
12
                     All in favor, say, "Aye."
13
                     (All "Aye" responses.)
14
               MR. POTEET:
15
                     All right. We have a motion and
16
          a second to move in Executive Session.
17
               MS. BURKS:
18
                     John Poteet?
19
               MR. POTEET:
20
                     Yes.
21
               MS. BURKS:
22
                    Dino Taylor?
23
              MR. TAYLOR:
24
                    Yes.
25
              MS. BURKS:
```

```
1
                    Tony Cormier?
 2
              MR. CORMIER:
 3
              Yes.
 4
              MS. BURKS:
 5
                   Ron Duplessis?
 6
              MR. DUPLESSIS:
 7
                Yes.
 8
              MS. BURKS:
 9
                  George Floyd?
10
              MR. FLOYD:
11
                  Yes.
12
              MS. BURKS:
13
                  Henry Smith?
14
              MR. SMITH:
15
                   Yes.
16
              MS. BURKS:
17
                 Steve Olave?
18
              MR. OLAVE:
19
                   Yes.
20
             MS. BURKS:
21
                   Ricky Donnell?
22
             MR. DONNELL:
23
                   Yes.
24
             MS. BURKS:
25
                   Richard Watts?
```

| | | 41 |
|----|----------------------------------|----|
| 1 | MR. WATTS: | |
| 2 | Yes. | |
| 3 | MS. BURKS: | |
| 4 | And Matthew Pederson? | |
| 5 | MR. PEDERSON: | |
| 6 | Yes. | |
| 7 | (Executive Session 10:03 a.m. to | |
| 8 | 11:39 a.m.) | |
| 9 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 10 | We need a motion to adjourn the | |
| 11 | Executive Session. | |
| 12 | MR. OLAVE: | |
| 13 | I make a motion that we come out | |
| 14 | of Executive Session. | |
| 15 | MR. SMITH: | |
| 16 | I second. | |
| 17 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 18 | All right. Roll call? | |
| 19 | MS. BURKS: | |
| 20 | John Poteet? | |
| 21 | MR. POTEET: | |
| 22 | Yes. | |
| 23 | MS. BURKS: | |
| 24 | Dino Taylor? | |
| 25 | MR. TAYLOR: | |
| | | |

```
1
                     Yes.
  2
               MS. BURKS:
  3
                 Tony Cormier?
 4
               MR. CORMIER:
 5
                     Yes.
 6
               MS. BURKS:
 7
                   Ron Duplessis?
 8
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
 9
                     Yes.
10
               MS. BURKS:
11
                     George Floyd?
12
               MR. FLOYD:
13
                    Yes.
14
               MS. BURKS:
15
                    Henry Smith?
16
               MR. SMITH:
17
                     Yes.
18
               MS. BURKS:
19
                    Steve Olave?
20
               MR. OLAVE:
21
                    Yes.
22
              MS. BURKS:
23
                    Ricky Donnell?
24
              MR. DONNELL:
25
                    Yes.
```

```
1
                MS. BURKS:
  2
                      Richard Watts?
  3
                MR. WATTS:
  4
                      Yes.
  5
                MS. BURKS:
  6
                      Matthew Pederson?
  7
                MR. PEDERSON:
  8
                      Yes.
  9
                MR. SMITH:
10
                      Now, I make a motion to adjourn.
11
               MR. OLAVE:
12
                      Second the motion.
13
               MR. POTEET:
14
                     All in favor, say, "Aye."
15
                      (All "Aye" responses.)
16
               MR. POTEET:
17
                     All right.
18
              (Meeting adjourned at 11:41 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle Commission April 16, 2018, meeting was reported by me in the stenotype reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

April 23, 2018, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER